

Table of Contents

1.0	Console System Proposal Evaluation.....	2
1.1	General.....	2
1.2	Grounds for Rejection.....	2
1.3	Evaluation Categories	2
1.3.1	Base Cost.....	2
1.3.1.1	Initial Contract Cost.....	2
1.3.1.2	Five-Year Operations and Maintenance Costs.....	3
1.3.2	System Configuration and Design	3
1.3.3	Exceptions.....	3
1.3.4	Quality of the Project Team	3
1.3.5	Warranty and Maintenance.....	3
1.3.6	Quality of Proposal.....	3
2.0	Final Selection.....	4

1.0 Console System Proposal Evaluation

1.1 General

Console System Proposals will be evaluated based on the categories described below. Each Proposal may receive up to 100 points, allocated as described below. The Proposal receiving the highest total score will be the recommended Proposal. The final evaluation and recommendation will be made in concert with the Purchaser.

Category		Point Allocation
Base Cost		35
Initial Contract Cost	25	
Five-Year O&M Cost	10	
System Configuration and Design		30
Exceptions		10
Quality of the Project Team		5
Warranty and Maintenance		10
Quality of Proposal		10

1.2 Grounds for Rejection

A proposal may be rejected if any of the following is true:

- The proposal is substantially incomplete.
- The proposed system is substantially incomplete, i.e., specified subsystems or interfaces to existing equipment are not proposed.
- The proposed console system does not provide the quantities of consoles specified in the RFP.
- The proposed console system fails to substantially provide the specified functionality.
- The Proposer's schedule is unrealistic. A schedule may be deemed unrealistic if important tasks or milestones are omitted or if insufficient time is allocated to tasks.

1.3 Evaluation Categories

1.3.1 Base Cost

Base cost will be evaluated in two subcategories: initial contract cost and five-year running cost. Points allocated for base cost will be the sum of points awarded to each of these subcategories.

1.3.1.1 Initial Contract Cost

Initial contract cost includes all costs associated with the acquisition, implementation and first-year operation of the base system.

Where costs are not included in the Proposal, they will be estimated by the evaluation team.

The following costs will not be included:

- contingent discounts
- options identified in the RFP
- options offered by the Proposer

1.3.1.2 Five-Year Operations and Maintenance Costs

Five-year operation and maintenance (O&M) costs include all costs associated with the second, third, fourth, and fifth years' operation and maintenance of the system, including maintenance contracts. Where these costs are not included in the Proposal, they will be estimated by the evaluation team.

Expansion costs will not be included in the calculation of five-year O&M costs.

1.3.2 System Configuration and Design

The points will be awarded based on the compliance statement in Section 3 of the response and review of the responses contained in Sections 4, 5 and 6 and how they describe the system's adherence to the functional requirements defined in section 6 of the RFP.

The following are examples of major exceptions:

- Failure to propose all equipment and services necessary to provide a complete and working system as specified in the RFP;
- Proposing a system whose modes of operation affect the ability of the users to perform their duties safely and efficiently;
- Offering maintenance and warranty service that is significantly less than that specified in the RFP;
- Proposing a grade of equipment that fails to meet specifications at numerous points when the Proposer has in its product line equipment that would more readily meet the specifications;
- A system configuration that grossly affects dependability;

1.3.3 Exceptions

Points will be deducted based on exceptions included in the Compliance Statement and Section 8 of the response. One-half point will be deducted for each minor exception and one point for each major exception. Multiple major exceptions that affect the functionality of the system may disqualify the proposal.

1.3.4 Quality of the Project Team

The technical evaluation team will make a judgment as to the quality and capability of the Proposer's project team, and this judgment will be used to determine points awarded. In making this judgment, the technical evaluation team will take into consideration the opinions of past and present customers of the Proposer and its subcontractors and past performance on similar projects.

1.3.5 Warranty and Maintenance

Half of the points in this category will be awarded to each Proposer who meets all warranty and maintenance requirements of the RFP, including the specified response times. Any Proposer not meeting all warranty specifications will have points deducted based on the evaluation team's judgment of the severity of the warranty exception.

The remainder of the points will be awarded based upon the evaluation team's judgment of the quality and capabilities of the service organization that will be performing warranty and maintenance service. This judgment will take into consideration the opinions of present and past customers of the service organization, past performance on similar projects, length of time in the area, and any recent change in the service organization.

1.3.6 Quality of Proposal

This is a subjective determination of the difficulty the evaluation team had in evaluating a particular Proposal. This is a measure of the anticipated quality of the Proposer's submittals during the contract.

2.0 Final Selection

Final selection will be made by the SCR911 General Manager with consideration to the scoring and recommendation of the Purchaser's Evaluation Team. The Purchaser may reject any or all Proposals deemed unresponsive, or may accept any Proposal which, in its opinion, best meets its needs.